

Evaluation of passive microwave brightness temperatures simulations across multiple microwave frequencies and polarization over the test site of Kuwait Desert



SMAP Cal/Val Workshop # 9 [22<sup>nd</sup> – 23<sup>rd</sup> October 2018] George Mason University, Fairfax Campus, Virginia, USA

Hala K Al Jassar, Physics Department, Kuwait University
Dara Entekhabi, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA
Marouane Temimi, Masdar Institute, Masdar City, Abu Dhabi, UAE
Peter Petrov, Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research, Kuwait
Hussain Al Saraff, American University of Kuwait, Kuwait
Panagiotis Kokkalis, Physics Department, Kuwait University, Kuwait
Roshni Nair, Physics Department, Kuwait University, Kuwait

Project funded by : Kuwait Foundation for the Advancement of Science, Kuwait









#### Outlines

- Objectives
- SM variations
- Intensive Field campaigns
- Simulation of Tb's for Bare Soil Model (SMAP/SMOS/AMSR2/SSM/I)
- Input / Output Parameters for TB Simulation
- Observed and Simulated Brightness Temperature
- Conclusions







#### **Objectives**



• Kuwait represents a Unique site in Arabian Peninsula with Unique Desert features (Bare soil and low topography).

• This offer a unique opportunity to study the relationship between microwave frequency, SM, and roughness.

 Designed extensive field campaign to assess the performance of radiative transfer model in such **Desert Conditions** at different freq. and (H&V) polarization

• Simulation of different satellites TB's (from1.4 GHz to 19 GHz) then were compared with observed TB,s from (SMAP, AMSR2, SMOS, SSMI)







#### **Soil Moisture Variations (6AM)**



SMAP L3 radiometric global daily 36 km SMOS L2









#### **Soil Moisture Variations (6PM)**



SMAP L3 radiometric global daily 36 km SMOS L2







## NASA SMAP Kuwait test site







asdar 🕼

annual rain fall is 116 mm (28-260 mm)
Evaporation rate (4.6 mm/day in January to 22.9 mm/day in June





#### NASA SMAP Kuwait test site





The test site of 36 km by 36 km with 14 soil types showing 6 in-situ stations

Masdar 🍏

Legend	Soil Type	Area (Sq.Km)
Cp03	Calcic Petrocalcids - Petrocalcic Petrogypsids complex, nearly	29.5
	level	
Cp06	Calcic Petrocalcids - Typic Petrogypsids complex, nearly level	68.05
<b>Cp0</b> 7	Calcic Petrocalcids - Typic Torripsamments complex, plain,	146.62
	nearly level	
Cp09	Typic Petrocalcids - shallow, gently sloping	29.33
Cp10	Typic Petrocalcids - Calcic Petrocalcids complex, nearly level	35.15
Gp03	Petrocalcic Petrogypsids - shallow, nearly level	331.93
<b>Gp</b> 07	Petrocalcic Petrogypsids - Calcic Petrocalcids complex, nearly	18.4
	level	
Gm10	Petrocalcic Petrogypsids - Typic Petrogypsids - Typic	19.1
dpiv	Torripsamments complex, nearly level	
0-11	Petrocalcic Petrogypsids - Typic Torripsamments complex,	204.86
opii	nearly level	
Gp14	Typic Petrogypsids - strongly sloping	26.38
Gp16	Typic Petrogypsids - Calcic Petrogypsids complex, nearly level	149.76
Gp19	Typic Petrogypsids - Typic Haplocalcids complex, nearly level	193.92
Ts01	Typic Torripsamments - smooth surface, gently sloping	6.12
Ts05	Typic Torripsamments - Calcic Petrocalcids complex,	36.83
	moderately steep	



# **Intensive Field campaigns**

- 20<sup>th</sup> Feb 2016 and 19<sup>th</sup> Mar 2016 (7:30 AM to 6 PM approximately)
- 3 teams, covered the 36 km by 36 km SMAP pixel foe each day / sampled in every 3km (SM, soil texture, bulk density, roughness
- 20<sup>th</sup> Feb 2016, 156 samples covering 10 soil types, 19<sup>th</sup> Mar 2016, 166 samples covering 13 soil types.
- LST from different sources



3km Thermo-gravimetric sample points















#### **Spatial Variation of Soil Moisture**







6Kn

į.









## Variation of Soil Moisture with Elevation





study area gently sloping from North-East to South-East (from 140 m to 220 m)







# **Temporal & Spatial Stability of Soil Moisture**







MRD value of  $\pm 0.005$  for both intensive field campaigns, covering the day time from 7 am till 6 pm. This indicates the spatial and temporal stability for the change of soil moisture from early morning until evening







## Simulation of Tb's for Bare Soil Model







#### **Satellite Grids over Kuwait test site**



ECMWF/ERA-

Interim/Land at

6pm

**MODIS**(Aqua)

at 1:30pm ECMWF/ERA-

Interim/Land at

6pm

Descending/

6pm

Ascending/

1:30pm

Ascending/

6:30pm



Legend Satellite	Pass time	Satellite	Frequency (GHz)	Incidence Angle (degree)	*Gridding (km)	Over Pass time	Land Surface Temperature (LST)
SMAP SMOS AMSR2 SSM/I	6pm&6am 6AM 1:30PM 6:30PM	SMAP	1.41	40	36 x 36	Ascending/ 6pm Descending/ 6 am	ECMWF/ERA- Interim/Land at 6pm MSG at 6am

SMOS

AMSR2

SSM/I

1.4

6.9, 7.3,

10.7, 18.7

19

42.5

55

53.1

15 x15

25 x25

25 x25

Brightness temperature is simulated using the thermo-gravimetric volumetric soil moisture data taken during the Intensive field campaigns over the test site and a comparison study is carried out between the observed brightness temperature from different satellites like SMAP, SMOS, AMSR-2 and SSM/I with the simulated brightness temperature.





## **Comparison between ECMWF & MERRA\_2**





This result agrees with Trigo and Viterbo, 2003, and Trigo et al., 2015 for 6am and 6pm.

#### ECMWF vs MERRA\_2 (February and March 2016)







Masdar 🖞

#### **Input /Output Parameters for TB Simulation**



20 FEB 2016

Satellite	Over Pass time	Frequency (GHz)	Incidence Angle°	Bulk Density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	Sand Fraction	Clay Fraction	LST(°C)	LST (Source/ Time)	VSM (m³ m³)	Roughness Height (cm)	Observed Tbv (°K)	Sim Tbv (®K)	Observed Tbh (°K)	Sim Tbh (°K)
SMAP (20 Feb 16 6AM)	6AM (Descending)	1.41	41 40° 1.75 0.87		0.03	20.68	MSG/ 6AM	0.040	0.750	278.19	277.95	249.20	254.68	
				1.63	0.85	0.05	22.16		0.041	0.800	297.85	281.67	269.86	256.54
				1.73	0.85	0.05 21.09		0.044	0.800	316.88	279.11	276.53	253.09	
				1.72	0.78	0.06	22.17	ECMWF/ ERA/ 6PM	0.050	0.800	319.75	278.34	279.54	251.28
5105			42.5°	1.72	0.94	0.03	22.04		0.053	0.829	317.10	277.74	279.32	250.99
3MU5	6PM			1.65	0.81	0.03	21.85		0.028	0.750	306.47	284.70	271.99	261.24
(19 reo 10	(Descending)	1.4		1.82	0.87	0.03	20.98		0.038	0.800	324.89	280.65	277.44	255.61
OFM)				1.94	0.87	0.04	21.06		0.039	0.800	339.18	279.62	281.17	253.84
				1.86	0.84	0.06	22.55		0.034	0.829	332.22	283.46	271.13	259.64
				1.92	0.94	0.03	22.80		0.029	0.700	326.78	283.22	272.28	256.97
				1.83	0.89	0.04	21.69		0.041	0.829	335.55	280.63	271.29	255.57
	1:30PM (Ascending)	6.9 7.3		1.68	0.94	0.03	35.50	MODIS	0.036	0.550	294.59	301.80	245.08	245.18
				1.72	0.82	0.02	37.06		0.051	0.731	294.65	300.59	248.75	248.33
				1.65	0.81	0.03	37.16		0.030	0.500	294.89	304.88	247.24	248.41
				1.54	0.78	0.06	36.28		0.041	0.650	295.50	302.63	250.84	250.35
				1.68	0.94	0.03	35.50		0.036	0.638	301.88	302.43	250.28	250.67
				1.72	0.82	0.02	37.06		0.051	0.800	298.63	301.31	252.11	252.61
AMERA				1.65	0.81	0.03	37.16		0.030	0.500	299.34	305.18	251.49	251.56
AM5K4 (20 E-b 16				1.54	0.78	0.06	36.28		0.041	0.700	298.08	303.02	254.09	253.39
1.20000			55°	1.68	0.94	0.03	35.50	/1:30PM	0.036	0.587	296.69	302.64	248.75	249.17
1:50FM)				1.72	0.82	0.02	37.06		0.051	0.800	295.91	302.07	253.78	254.15
		10.7	0.7	1.65	0.81	0.03	37.16		0.030	0.500	297.18	305.32	249.96	249.90
				1.54	0.78	0.06	36.28		0.041	0.700	296.41	303.58	254.25	254.90
				1.68	0.94	0.03	35.50		0.036	0.600	296.17	304.06	254.35	254.17
		18.7		1.72	0.82	0.02	37.06		0.051	0.829	295.76	304.16	262.65	259.99
				1.65	0.81	0.03	37.16		0.030	0.500	295.70	306.55	256.69	256.52
				1.54	0.78	0.06	36.28		0.041	0.750	296.19	305.20	261.15	261.61
1000	6:30PM (Ascending)		19 53.10	1.66	0.85	0.05	22.34	TO OVE	0.050	0.829	285.60	290.72	260.70	254.18
SSMI (INF-L-14		19		1.59	0.87	0.04	22.75	ECMWF/ ERA/	0.042	0.800	285.30	292.00	260.60	256.49
(10 100 10				1.65	0.81	0.03	22.37		0.026	0.750	285.90	292.98	260.10	259.74
0.501 M)				1.74	0.94	0.03	21.85	ULW	0.037	0.700	285.50	290.86	260.70	252.07





#### Input /Output Parameters for TB Simulation 19 MAR 2016



A CONTRACTOR	and the second sec	1. 6. 6. 1.	and the second	1	311	A 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		321	and the second		11	A		2.04.00
Satellite	Over Pass time	Frequency (GHz)	Incidence Angle °	Bulk Density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	Sand Fraction	Clay Fraction	LST (°C)	LST (Source/Time)	VSM (m <sup>3</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> )	Roughness Height (cm)	Observed Tbv ( <sup>0</sup> K)	Sim Tbv ( <sup>0</sup> K)	Observed Tbh ( <sup>0</sup> K)	Sim Tbh ( <sup>0</sup> K)
SMAP (18 Mar 10 6AM)	6, 6AM (Descending)	1.41	40°	1.78	0.87	0.03	26.27	MSG/ 6AM	0.045	0.650	278.03	279.42	248.90	252.12
SMAP (18 Mar 10 6PM)	6 6PM (Ascending)	1.41	40°	1.78	0.87	0.03	27.42	ECMWF/ ERA/ 6PM	0.045	0.850	285.74	284.13	260.84	261.70
				1.78	0.85	0.05	26.14	ECMWF/ ERA/ 6AM	0.047	0.850	297.85	283.71	269.86	258.03
				2.00	0.85	0.05	28.00		0.057	0.800	316.88	280.81	276.53	251.46
				1.78	0.78	0.06	27.59		0.054	0.829	319.75	282.60	279.54	255.16
0100			42.5°	1.87	0.94	0.03	26.89		0.044	0.750	317.10	283.15	279.32	254.84
SMOS	6AM			1.89	0.81	0.03	28.41		0.051	0.750	306.47	281.75	271.99	252.00
(19 Mar 10	o, (Ascending)	1.4		1.94	0.87	0.03	27.95		0.051	0.850	324.89	283.59	277.44	256.67
6AM)				2.03	0.87	0.04	26.67		0.042	0.800	339.18	283.57	281.17	256.47
				2.01	0.84	0.06	27.59		0.037	0.829	332.22	286.46	271.13	261.00
				1.87	0.94	0.03	27.56		0.037	0.750	326.78	286.02	272.28	259.01
				1.86	0.89	0.04	27.18		0.029	0.800	335.55	296.70	271.29	261.34
5		6.9		2.00	0.94	0.03	39.76		0.038	0.600	299.52	304.28	247.64	246.78
				1.87	0.82	0.02	39.3		0.047	0.731	299.44	303.11	250.41	250.81
3				1.83	0.81	0.03	38.86		0.041	0.650	299.87	303.96	249.71	249.60
				1.99	0.78	0.06	39.12		0.053	0.800	300.19	301.74	250.86	251.39
		7.3		2.00	0.94	0.03	39.76		0.038	0.650	302.27	304.75	251.65	250.13
				1.87	0.82	0.02	39.3		0.047	0.800	302.08	303.80	254.38	255.05
AMSPO	1.2001			1.83	0.81	0.03	38.86		0.041	0.700	302.79	304.41	253.65	252.78
(10 Max 1	6 (Asconding)		55°	1.99	0.78	0.06	39.12		0.053	0.829	302.88	302.12	255.19	253.26
1.30PM	(Ascending)			2.00	0.94	0.03	39.76	MODIS /1:50FM	0.038	0.700	300.83	305.65	253.60	254.37
1.501 M)				1.87	0.82	0.02	39.3		0.047	0.800	300.89	304.44	256.69	256.37
2		10.7		1.83	0.81	0.03	38.86		0.041	0.700	301.09	304.96	254.77	254.09
				1.99	0.78	0.06	39.12		0.053	0.829	301.77	302.87	256.53	254.63
				2.00	0.94	0.03	39.76		0.038	0.800	300.27	307.54	263.63	263.03
			18.7	1.87	0.82	0.02	39.3		0.047	0.829	299.87	306.29	269.65	261.69
		18.7		1.83	0.81	0.03	38.86		0.041	0.829	300.05	307.07	264.60	264.43
				1.99	0.78	0.06	39.12		0.053	0.829	300.31	304.84	268.97	258.60
DISS.	6-30PM			1.90	0.85	0.05	27.39	ECMWF/ ERA/	0.049	0.829	289.60	294.43	265.40	255.24
(19 Mar 16 6:30PM)	6 (Ascending)	g) 19	53.1°	1.83	0.87	0.04	26.79		0.042	0.800	288.00	294.84	264.00	256.53
	(Ascenuing)			1.83	0.81	0.03	28.31		0.044	0.750	289.90	295.63	265.80	254.38
	(M)			1.97	0.94	0.03	27.85	UT M	0.028	0.700	290.00	296.70	264.50	256.90







#### **Observed & Simulated Brightness Temperature**





Vertical Polarization



#### Horizontal Polarization



SMAP L1C SMOS L3TB AMSR2 L3TB SSM/I L3



# **RFI Probability / SMOS blog**



Radio-Frequency Interference (RFI) in SMOS context are artificial emissions made within the 1400-1427 MHz band

RFI presence blue very low probability red very high probability









## **RFI Characterization / SMOS blog**



- $\circ~$  The color of each RFI is proportional to its averaged BT.
- As RFI BTs are the sum of the natural thermal noise and the artificial emission, BT lower than 300K are not represented.
- The maximum of the color bar is fixed at 10000K in all images for consistency, but in many cases stronger RFI are present.
- The size of each point is proportional to RFI persistence in SMOS data, i.e. the number of times the RFI was detected.











#### Conclusions

- The simulation of the brightness temperatures from the forward radiative transfer model of brightness temperature are mostly impacted by soil moisture after accounting for surface roughness and soil temperature contributions.
- The SMAP 1.4 GHz brightness temperatures agree with simulated brightness temperatures from the forward model for both vertical and horizontal polarizations. The SMAP radiometer has RFI detection and mitigation capabilities and therefore it is less affected.
- The lower frequency microwaves show greater dynamic range and match with simulated brightness temperatures with in situ field measurements as input.









#### Conclusions

- NASA SMAP Kuwait test site / only Test Site in Arabian Peninsula /Unique Desert features and Electromagnetic Noise
- The SMOS 1.4 GHz measurements are apparently affected by RFI and have considerably higher brightness temperatures.
- At mid-range microwave AMSR2 frequencies, the vertical channel measurements are uncorrelated with the forward model simulations with in situ inputs but the horizontal polarization satellite measurements are well correlated.
- At the highest AMSR2 and SSMI frequencies (18.7 and 19 GHz respectively), even for bare soils, the satellite measurements at both polarizations cannot track changes in surface soil moisture due to both model sensitivity and atmospheric effect.









#### Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Kuwait Foundation for the Advancement of Sciences (KFAS) for sponsoring this Project no. P21544SP01. Also grateful to Kuwait University (KU) for their continuous support.



